



Human Resource Management (535)

CONTESTANT NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT

PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (140 points) _____

Judge 2 (140 points) _____

Judge 3 (140 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE _____ (140 maximum)

SPECIFICATION SCORE _____ (20 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE _____ **(160 maximum)**

RANK



Today's students. Tomorrow's business professionals.

Human Resource Management (535)

Judge Number _____

Contestant Number _____

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Items to Evaluate	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening: Introduction of case study	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effectiveness of presentation: Purpose achieved, logically organized, clearly understood	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Mechanics: Diction, grammar, pronunciation, gestures, poise, eye contact	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Closing: Summary and conclusion	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Solution to case study: Quality of solution Development of subject matter Depth of research	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Problem solving skills	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Response to Judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (140 points maximum)					

Specification Scoring Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant, <i>not</i> per judge.	Points Awarded
Presentation lasted no less than three (3) and no more than five (5) minutes.	10
Did <i>not</i> use any materials other than those specified for the event.	10
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)	

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 160

Property of Business Professionals of America
 May be reproduced only for use in the Business Professionals of America
Workplace Skills Assessment Program competition.



Today's students. Tomorrow's business professionals.

Human Resource Management (535)

Judge Number _____

Contestant Number _____

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)