

Ethics & Professionalism (PS) (540)

CONTESTANT NUMBER		
RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE I	PER CONTESTANT	
PRESENTATION SCORE		
Judge 1 (140 points)		
Judge 2 (140 points)		
Judge 3 (140 points)		
Total Judges' Points		
Divided by # of Judges		
AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE		(140 maximum)
SPECIFICATION SCORE		(20 maximum)
TOTAL SCORE		(160 maximum)
RANK		



Ethics & Professionalism (PS) (540)

Judge Number	Co	ntestant Number
	D	. D. L

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Items to Evaluate	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening Introduction of case study	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
Effectiveness of presentation Purpose achieved, logically organized, clearly understood	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
Mechanics Diction, grammar, pronunciation, gestures, poise, eye contact	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
Closing Summary and conclusion	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
Solution to case study Quality of solution Development of subject matter Depth of research	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
Problem solving skills	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
Response to judges' questions	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (140 points maximum)					

Specification Scoring Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS : All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant, not per judge.		
Presentation lasted no less than five (5) and no more than seven (7) minutes	10	
Did not use any materials other than those specified for the event.	10	
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)		

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 160

Property of Business Professionals of America May be reproduced only for use in the Business Professionals of America Workplace Skills Assessment Program competition.



Ethics & Professionalism (PS) (540)

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Judge Number	Contestant Number
COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant) (Judges: please provide positive feedback and regarding your analysis of his/her competition	areas of improvement in a constructive manner
AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:	
REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (i	f applicable)