

Administrative Support Research Project (S) (260)

CONTESTANT NUMBER		
RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE	PER CONTESTANT	
PRESENTATION SCORE		
Judge 1 (100 points)		
Judge 2 (100 points)		
Judge 3 (100 points)		
Total Judges' Points		
Divided by # of Judges		
AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE		(100 maximum)
SPECIFICATION SCORE		(30 maximum)
TECHNICAL SCORE		
Judge 1 (110 points)		
Judge 2 (110 points)		
Judge 3 (110 points)		
Total Judges' Points		
Divided by # of Judges		
AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE		(110 maximum)
TOTAL SCORE		(240 maximum)
RANK		



Administrative Support Research Project (S) (260)

Judge Number	Contestant Number
0 0-0-g - 1 0	

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Evaluation of Oral Presentation	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening and summary	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Content of presentation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effectiveness of presentation: Voice projection, transitions, flow, stage presence, etc.	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Quality of problem solution	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Answers to judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (100 points maximum)					

Specification Points Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant, not per judge.		Points Awarded	
Set-up lasted no longer than three (3) minutes – 5 points		arucu	
Presentation lasted no longer than seven (7) minutes – 5 points	10		
Documentation submitted at time of check-in: Keyed and signed <u>Individual</u>			
Entry Form (1 copy) and Research Paper, including Works Cited (1 copies)	10		
Must have copies for preliminaries and finals			
Word processed research paper and Works Cited page followed the <u>Style &</u>	10		
<u>Reference Manual</u>	10		
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (30 points maximum)			

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 240



Administrative Support Research Project (S) (260)

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Juage Number	Contestant Number		
COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant) (Judges: please provide positive feedback and are regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)	eas of improvement in a constructive manner		
AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:			
REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if a	pplicable)		