**TEAM NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM**

**PRESENTATION SCORE**

Judge 1 (120 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (150 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (180 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges’ Points \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL PRESENTATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_\_ (450 maximum)

**SPECIFICATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (30 maximum)

**OBJECTIVE PARLIAMENTARY**

**PROCEDURE CONCEPTS TEST**

(Average score of all team members (50 maximum points)) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (50 maximum)

**TOTAL SCORE \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (530 maximum)**

**RANK**

**Judge Number \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Team Number \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Presentation Scoring Rubric/Criteria**

**Judge 1 Only**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Non Participation in Subject** | **Below Average** | **Average** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Points Awarded** |
| **Chairperson’s presiding ability** | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **Clarity of business transactions** | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **Total Points Awarded by Judge 1 Only (120 points maximum)** | | | | | |  |

**Judge 2 Only**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Non Participation in Subject** | **Below Average** | **Average** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Points Awarded** |
| **Quality of presentation and discussion** | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **Followed voting procedures** | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **Judges’ questions** | | | | | | |
| Question #1 | 0 |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Question #2 | 0 |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Question #3 | 0 |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| **Total Points Awarded by Judge 2 Only (150 points maximum)** | | | | | |  |

#### Judge Number Team Number \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Presentation Scoring Rubric/Criteria**

**Judge 3 Only**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Non Participation in Subject** | **Below Average** | **Average** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Points Awarded** | |
| **General participation by members, including secretary.** | | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| **Power of expression, communication skills, poise, and stage presence.** | | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| **Actions recorded correctly in Secretary’s notes.** | | | | | | | |
| Subject #1 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| Subject #2 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| Subject #3 | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  | |
| **Total Points Awarded by Judge 3 Only (180 points maximum)** | | | | | | |  |

**Total Parliamentary Presentation Points = 450 points maximum**

**Specification Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Specification Points:** All points or none per item are awarded by Judge 3 only per team. | | **Points Awarded** |
| Included Opening and Closing ceremonies | 10 |  |
| Followed designated order of business | 10 |  |
| Documentation submitted at time of presentation: Keyed and signed [Team Entry Form](http://www.bpa.org/sdownload/2015-16_NLC_Team_entry_form.pdf) (1 copy)  ***Must have copies for preliminaries and finals*** | 10 |  |

**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 480 + the average of the teams’ score from the Objective Test**

**JUDGE’S COMMENTS**

#### Judge Number Team Number\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)**

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

**AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:**

**REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)**