

Network Design Team (325)

TEAM NUMBER	
RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM	
PRESENTATION SCORE	
Judge 1 (140 points)	
Judge 2 (140 points)	
Judge 3 (140 points)	
Total Judges' Points	
Divided by # of Judges	
AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE	(140 maximum)
SPECIFICATION SCORE	(20 maximum)
TECHNICAL SCORE	
Judge 1 (370 points)	
Judge 2 (370 points)	
Judge 3 (370 points)	
Total Judges' Points	
Divided by # of Judges	
AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE	(370 maximum)
TOTAL SCORE	(530 maximum)
RANK	



Network Design Team (325)

Judge Number	Team Number	

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Items to Evaluate	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Ability to outline short- and long-term objectives and defend the solution as the most appropriate for the given scenario	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to use technical terms, along with appropriate explanations that achieve effective communications with the client	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Voice quality and diction	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Self-confidence, assertiveness, and eye contact	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Presentation quality/style; flow	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
All team members participated in presentation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Response to judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Total Presentation Points (140 points maximum)					

Specification Scoring Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per		
team, not per judge.		
Set-up lasted no longer than five (5) minutes – 5 points Presentation lasted no longer than ten (10) minutes – 5 points	10	
Documentation submitted at time of presentation: Keyed and signed <u>Team</u> <u>Entry Form</u> (2 copies), Written Proposal in Report Format (2 copies) <i>Must have copies for preliminaries and finals</i>	10	
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)		

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 530

PRESENTATION WILL BE STOPPED AT 10 MINUTES



Network Design Team (325)

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Juage Number	Team Number	
COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant) (Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)		
A DE AC EOD HADDONEMENT		
AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:		
REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)	