

Computer Modeling (S) (425)

CONTESTANT NUMBER	
RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTAN	NT
PRESENTATION SCORE	
Judge 1 (100 points)	
Judge 2 (100 points)	
Judge 3 (100 points)	
Total Judges' Points	
Divided by # of Judges	
AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE	(100 maximum)
SPECIFICATION SCORE	(20 maximum)
TECHNICAL SCORE	
Judge 1 (310 points)	
Judge 2 (310 points)	
Judge 3 (310 points)	
Total Judges' Points	
Divided by # of Judges	
AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE	(310 maximum)
TOTAL SCORE	(430 maximum)
RANK	

Property of Business Professionals of America May be reproduce only for use in the Business Professionals of America Workplace Skills Assessment Program competition.



Computer Modeling (S) (425)

Judge Number	Contestant Number
Judge Humber	

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Items to Evaluate	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Earned
Ability to explain the development process	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the design process	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the use of innovative technology	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain use and development of media elements	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Presentation quality/style	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (100 points maximum)					

Specification Scoring Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant,			
not per judge.		Awarded	
Set-up lasted no longer than five (5) minutes – 5 points	10		
Presentation lasted no longer than ten (10) minutes – 5 points	10		
Documentation submitted at time of presentation: Keyed and signed <u>Individual Entry</u>			
Form (2 copies), Concept Art/Prototypes (2 copies), and Release Form(s) (2 copies) at	10		
time of presentation	10		
Must have copies for preliminaries and finals			
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)			

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 430



Computer Modeling (S) (425)

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Judge Number	Contestant Number		
COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant) (Judges: please provide positive feedback and arregarding your analysis of his/her competition.)	eas of improvement in a constructive manner		
AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:			
REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if a	applicable)		