



Today's students. Tomorrow's business professionals.

Computer Modeling (425)

CONTESTANT NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT

PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (100 points) _____

Judge 2 (100 points) _____

Judge 3 (100 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE _____ (100 maximum)

SPECIFICATION SCORE _____ (20 maximum)

TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (340 points) _____

Judge 2 (340 points) _____

Judge 3 (340 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE _____ (340 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE _____ (460 maximum)

RANK



Today's students. Tomorrow's business professionals.

Computer Modeling (425)

Judge Number _____

Contestant Number _____

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Items to Evaluate	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Earned
Ability to explain the development process	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the design process	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the use of innovative technology	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain use and development of media elements	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Presentation quality/style	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (100 points maximum)					

Specification Scoring Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant, not per judge.	Points Awarded
Set-up lasted no longer than five (5) minutes – 5 points Presentation lasted no longer than ten (10) minutes – 5 points	10
Documentation submitted at time of presentation: Keyed and signed Individual Entry Form (1 copy), Concept Art/Prototypes (2 copies), DVD (1 copy), and Release Form(s) (1 copy) at time of presentation <i>Must have copies for preliminaries and finals</i>	10
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)	



Today's students. Tomorrow's business professionals.

Computer Modeling (425)

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Judge Number _____

Contestant Number _____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)