Mobile Applications-Pilot (345)

CONTESTANT NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT

PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (160 points)   _____

Judge 2 (160 points)   _____

Judge 3 (160 points)   _____

Total Judges' Points   _____

Divided by # of Judges   _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE   _____ (160 maximum)

SPECIFICATION SCORE   _____ (20 maximum)

TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (300 points)   _____

Judge 2 (300 points)   _____

Judge 3 (300 points)   _____

Total Judges' Points   _____

Divided by # of Judges   _____

AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE   _____ (300 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE   _____ **(480 maximum)**

RANK

Mobile Applications-Pilot (345)

Judge Number _____   **Contestant Number**_____

Presentation Scoring Rubric

	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
PRESENTATION POINTS					
Ability to explain development/design process	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to demonstrate how the application works through the use of the User Interface	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the logical design of application and design patterns used	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the code structures that access the geolocation data	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to explain the code structures that retrieve and display the weather data	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Offered clear and direct responses to interview questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Grammar, Spelling, Punctuation, and Usage	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Presentation quality/style	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (160 points maximum)					

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none are awarded by the proctor per contestant, not per judge.		**Points Awarded**
Documentation, release form submitted at presentation	10	
Presentation and set-up lasted no more than fifteen (15) minutes	10	
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)		

Mobile Applications-Pilot (345)

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Judge Number _____   **Contestant Number**_____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)
(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)