

TEAM NUMBER	
RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM	
PRESENTATION SCORE	
Judge 1 (500 points)	
Judge 2 (500 points)	
Judge 3 (500 points)	
Total Judges' Points	
Divided by # of Judges	
AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE	(500 maximum)
SPECIFICATION SCORE	(30 maximum)
TOTAL SCORE	(530 maximum)
RANK	



Judge Number	Team Number	

Technical/Presentation Scoring Rubric

	Below				Points
Items to Evaluate	Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Awarded
TECHNICAL POINTS					
Written Proposal					
Customer profile	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Objectives	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Abstract of implementation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Explanation of products and/or services provided	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Clarity of message					
 Message is attention-grabbing, compelling and concise. 	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
 Message was developed according to topic. 					
Short- and long-range goals defined	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Financial Analysis	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Supporting Documentation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Grammar, Spelling, Punctuation, and Usage	5	10	15	20	
 Content without errors/No copyright violations 	(3+ errors)	(2 errors)	(1 error)	(0 errors)	
Total V	Vritten Propo	osal Points (1	180 points	maximum)	
Creativity	•	,	_	•	
Solution is innovative	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
 Fresh ideas, unique 	1-3	0-10	11-13	10-20	
	Total Creat	ivity Points	(20 points	maximum)	
Specific Technical Recommendations					
Fast network access					
 Proactive and reactive security measures 	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Efficient use of equipment					
Intuitive use of subnets and VLANs	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Solution provides a faster connection between the	1 3	0 10	11 13	10 20	
Washington, D.C. office and the headquarters	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Solution addresses the need for a disaster recovery					
site and secondary Internet connection for the	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
headquarters	1 3	0 10	11 13	10 20	
Solution includes a strategy for fast, secure, and					
efficient communication between all employees	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Solution addresses the need for wireless networks					
in the San Francisco and Chicago offices	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Solution includes an architecture that addresses the					
connections from the San Francisco and Chicago	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
offices to the headquarters in Houston		0 10	11.10	10 20	
Solution recommends network security measures					
that should be in place for the two new offices	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Total Specific Technical R	ecommendat	tion Points (1	160 points	maximum)	
Total Opecific Technical N	ccommenda		roo bonnes	muannun)	



Judge Number	Team Number
Juage Number	realii Nuiliber

Technical/Presentation Scoring Rubric Continued

	Below				Points
Items to Evaluate	Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Awarded
PRESENTATION POINTS				•	
Oral Presentation					
Ability to outline short- and long-term objectives and defend the solution as the most appropriate for the given scenario	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Ability to use technical terms, along with appropriate explanations that achieve effective communications with the client	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Voice quality and diction	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Self-confidence, assertiveness, and eye contact	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Presentation quality/style; flow	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
All team members participated in presentation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Response to judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
T	otal Presenta	ation Points	(140 points	maximum)	
TOTAL TECHNICAL AND PRESENTATION POINTS (500 points maximum)					

Specification Scoring Rubric/Rating Criteria

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none are awarded by the proctor per team, not per judge.			
Documentation, release form and CD/DVD submitted at presentation	10	Earned	
Presentation (including video) lasted no more than ten (10) minutes	10		
All registered team members participated in the presentation	10		
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (30 points maximum)			



JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Team Number

Judge Number

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant) (Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manne regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)	r
REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)	