**Team Number**

**RANKING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM**

**PRESENTATION SCORE**

Judge 1 (500 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (500 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges Points \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of judges \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**AVERAGE OF JUDGES SCORES** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (500 maximum)

**SPECIFICATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (30 maximum)

 **TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (530 maximum)**

 **RANK**

#### Judge Number Team Number

**Technical/Presentation Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Items to Evaluate** | **Below Average** | **Average** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Points Awarded** |
| **TECHNICAL POINTS** |
| **Written Proposal** |
| Customer profile | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Objectives | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Abstract of implementation | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Explanation of products and/or services provided | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Clarity of message* Message is attention-grabbing, compelling and concise.
* Message was developed according to topic.
 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Short/long range goals defined | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Financial Analysis | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Supporting Documentation | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Grammar, Spelling, Punctuation, and Usage * Content without errors/No copyright violations
 | 5(3+ errors) | 10(2 errors) | 15(1 error) | 20(0 errors) |  |
| **TOTAL WRITTEN PROPOSAL (180 points possible)** |  |
| **Creativity** |
| Solution is innovative* Fresh ideas, unique
 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **TOTAL CREATIVITY (20 points possible)** |  |
| **Specific Technical Recommendations** |
| * Secure and fast
* Network is online ready for users
 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| IP subnet design  | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Solution adequately addresses the need for increased speed (band width) and upgrades on trading floors. | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Solution adequately addressees the need for a backup in New York, NY | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Solution adequately addresses the high speed access to all servers at the site | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Solution adequately defines the needs and a possible solutions for a “hot-standby” for the NYC center  | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Solution for two-factor authentication is well documented and designed. | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Solution adequately addresses a security strategy to segment the network into proper security zones | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **TOTAL SPECIFIC TECHNICAL RECOMMENDATION (160 points possible)** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PRESENTATION POINTS** |
| **Oral Presentation** |
| Ability to outline short and long-term objectives and defend the solution as the most appropriate for the given scenario | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Ability to use technical terms, along with appropriate explanations that achieve effective communications with the client | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Voice quality and diction | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Self-confidence, assertiveness, and eye contact | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Presentation quality/style; flow | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| All team members participated in presentation | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| Response to judges’ questions | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 |  |
| **(140 possible) TOTAL** |  |
| **TOTAL POINTS (500 points maximum)** |  |

**Specification Scoring Rubric/Rating Criteria**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SPECIFICATION POINTS:** All points or none are awarded by the proctor per individual, not per judge. | **Points Earned** |
| Documentation, release form and CD/DVD submitted at presentation  | 10 |  |
| Presentation (including video) lasted no more than ten (10) minutes. | 10 |  |
| All registered team members participated in the presentation  | 10 |  |
| **TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (30 points maximum)**  |  |

**JUDGES COMMENTS**

#### Judge Number Team Number\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)**

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

**REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)**